

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

Director of Personnel
5E 58 Hqs

che sept m

25X1

Att: [] (cy 1 of 4) []

25X1

Fred:

The attached routing slip gives us a new lead to the board on the IC supergrade study. They have asked for our comments by COB 27 September. I would appreciate your review and receipt of your comments by me on 26 September.

/s/ Jack

John F. Blake

25X1

Acting Deputy Director of Central
Intelligence, 7D 6011 Hqs, []

22 Sep 77

(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE

IC

ADDCI:JFBlake:kmg (22 Sep 77)

Distribution:

Orig RS - D/Pers w/atts as stated above

1 - ADDCI

✓ - ER

25X1

[] Memo dtd 20 Sep 77 to ADDCI fr AD/DCI/IC, subj: IC Staff Supergrade Study

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

20 SEP 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

FROM: John N. McMahon
Acting Deputy to the DCI for the Intelligence
Community

SUBJECT: IC Staff Supergrade Study

25X1 1. ☐ Attached is a new version of the supergrade study. The study has been revised considerably and some of the more subjective judgments have been deleted.

25X1 2. ☐ The IC Staff is committed to providing OMB a report on supergrades. We appreciate the limitations of a strictly statistical presentation, but believe that appropriate caveats will help place the report in proper perspective and insure its proper use. We have included a draft memorandum which would be sent to OMB covering the report.

25X1 3. ☐ We would appreciate any specific comments you have on the text by COB 27 September 1977. In your response, please identify any portion of the report considered particularly sensitive.

/s/ JOHN N. McMAHON

John N. McMahon

Attachment:

25X1 ☐

Cy 1 - Addee
Cy 2 - A/D/DCI/IC
Cy 3 - IC Registry
Cy 4 - EO/ICS
EO/ICS/RJKerr:hcd:20Sept77

5-ER

☐
Copy 3 of 3

25X1 ☐

25X1 ☐

SECRET

SECRET

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Edward R. Jayne
Associate Director for National Security
and International Affairs
Office of Management and Budget

FROM: John N. McMahon
Acting Deputy to the DCI for the
Intelligence Community

SUBJECT: Survey of Intelligence Community Supergrade
Positions.

1. I am forwarding the attached Survey of Intelligence Community Supergrade Positions which was prepared by the IC Staff in response to a January 1977 request from the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

2. Based on my review of the survey and discussions with senior members of the Intelligence Community, I have reached the following conclusions concerning the issue of supergrade structure within the Intelligence Community:

a. The supergrade structure for the Intelligence Community in aggregate is reasonable and consistent with other Government agencies which have comparable responsibilities. Each agency has a rigorous system for determining its needs for supergrade positions and their allocation within the organization. Overall, it is my judgment that the numbers are roughly right. There are some imbalances among components of the Intelligence Community which will be examined further. One example is the DIA where I believe that an increase

Downgrade to UNCLASSIFIED
Upon Removal of Attachment

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

SECRET

25X1

SUBJECT: Survey of Intelligence Community Supergrade Positions

in the number of supergrades may be justified in order to bring the analytical elements of the DIA to a comparable level with other major programs and to improve career opportunities within that organization. I will address this issue in the forthcoming budget review.

b. While the question of supergrade levels is an important and sometimes emotional personnel issue, its impact on the budget is relatively insignificant. Because of the compression of salaries at the high grades (which will be exacerbated by the 1 October raise), there is not a large difference between mid-level GS-15 and supergrade salaries. My staff estimates that a reduction of ten percent in the roughly [] supergrades in the Intelligence Community would yield an annual saving of only about []

deleted J.N. 25X1 25X1

c. While determining ratios and examining numbers of supergrades is important, the emphasis should not be on statistics but, rather, on the quality of the output. In the final analysis, such "output" assessment is the best basis for judging how an organization should be structured and manned. The IC Staff will be pursuing this kind of assessment in the future.

John N. McMahon

Attachment:
Survey of Intelligence
Community Supergrade Positions

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

25X1

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

Intelligence Community Staff

MEMORANDUM FOR: See Distribution

FROM: John N. McMahon
Acting Deputy to the DCI for
the Intelligence Community

SUBJECT: Request for Review/Comments on Supergrade Survey

25X1 1. ☐ The attached is a revised draft of the survey of
supergrade and executive positions in the Intelligence Community,
which has been requested by the Office of Management and Budget.

25X1 2. ☐ Please review the draft and provide me your comments
on its factual accuracy by 9 September 1977.

John N. McMahon

Attachment:
As Stated

Downgraded to UNCLASSIFIED
upon removal of attachment

Copy of

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

25X1

SECRET

Distribution:

- Copy 1 - Mr. John F. Blake, Acting Deputy Director of
Central Intelligence
- 2 - Vice Admiral B.R. Inman, USN, Director, National
Security Agency
- 3 - Mr. Harold H. Saunders, Director of Intelligence
and Research, Department of State
- 4 - Major General Harold R. Aaron, USA, Assistant Chief
of Staff for Intelligence, Department of Army
- 5 - Lieutenant General Eugene F. Tighe, Jr., USAF,
Director, Defense Intelligence Agency
- 6 - Rear Admiral Donald P. Harvey, USN,
Director of Naval Intelligence
- 7 - Major General James L. Brown, USAF, Assistant Chief
of Staff, Intelligence
- 8 - Mr. Clarence M. Kelley, Director, Federal Bureau
of Investigation
- 9 - Major General Edward B. Giller, USAF (Ret.)
Deputy Assistant Administrator for National Security,
Energy Research and Development Administration
- 10 - Mr. Gerald P. Dinneen, Assistant Secretary of Defense,
Communications Command Control and Intelligence

Distribution:

- Copy 1 - CIA, Office of Personnel, Attn: [REDACTED]
2 - NSA, Director of Civilian Personnel, Attn: [REDACTED]
3 - State/INR, Attn: Ms Christine Schneider
4 - ASD(C3I), Attn: Mr. Craig Wilson
5 - DIA/RCC-3, Attn: [REDACTED]
6 - NavIntCom, NIC 22, 2461 Eisenhower Ave., Alexandria, Va.
22331, Attn: Captain Hamel
7 - Major Fred Williamson, Hq USAF/INYXX, The Pentagon
8 - FBI, Personnel Officer, S. Ray Burns
9 - ERDA, Attn: Dr. John LaBarre
10 - Army ACSI DAMI-ZC, Attn: Mr. Herbert W. Taylor

25X1
25X1

25X1

Internal Distribution:

Copy 11 - D/OPP

12 - D/OPBD

13 - SA/D/DCI/IC

14 - SA/D/DCI/IC

15 - C/SS/ICS

16 - D/OPEI

17 -

18 -

19 -

20 - PAID Chrono

21 - PAID Subject

22 - IC Registry

23-25 -

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

25X1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Preface	1
Table of Contents	
I. Summary	3
II. Trends in the Distribution of Executive Positions in the Intelligence Community and its Agencies	6
A. The Intelligence Community	7
B. Central Intelligence Agency	9
1. Executive Pay (EP) Positions	12
2. Scientific Pay Scale (SPS) Positions	14
3. Supergrade Positions	15
C. Intelligence Community Staff	21
D. State/INR	28
E. Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)	29
F. ERDA (Division of International Security Affairs)	31
G. Defense Intelligence	31
1. General	31
2. National Security Agency	32
3. Defense Intelligence Agency	38
4. ASD(C3I)	41
5. Army Intelligence	42
6. Naval Intelligence	43
7. Air Force Intelligence	43
8. Special Air Force	43
III. Analysis	46
A. Lack of Criteria for Cross-Agency Comparison	46
B. Interagency Comparisons Within the Community	54
C. Comparison With Other Federal Government Organizations	59
Appendix	67
A. Central Intelligence Agency	67
B. Intelligence Community Staff	71
C. State/INR	73
D. Federal Bureau of Investigation	74
E. Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA)	75

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

SECRET

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

F. National Security Agency	77
G. Defense Intelligence Agency	80
H. Other Defense Intelligence Organizations	84

List of Figures and Tables

Table 1. Distribution of Civilian Supergrades and Flag/General Officers Among Community Agencies (FY 1977)	8
Table 2. Number of Executives in the Intelligence Community, 1973-1977	10
Table 3. OMB-Approved Ceilings for CIA Executives (1973-1977)	11
Table 4. Distribution of Scientific Pay Scale (SPS) Positions Within CIA	16
Figure 1. Trend in Supergrade Ceiling Authorized to CIA by OMB Since 1949	17
Table 5. Distribution of Supergrade Positions Among CIA Directorates	19
Table 6. Distribution of the Total of Supergrade and SPS Positions Among Deputy Directorates	22
Table 7. Distribution of Supergrade Positions Among Deputy Directorates by Grade	23
Table 8. Authorized Manning and Executive Ceilings for the Intelligence Community Staff, 1973-1977	24
Table 9. Authorized and Encumbered Executive Positions in Intelligence Community Staff (IC Staff), 1977	26
Table 10. Types of Employees Filling Authorized Executive Positions in Intelligence Community Staff (June 1977)	27
Table 11. Manning and Distribution of Supergrades Among Elements of State/INR in 1977	30
Table 12. Number and Authority for Supergrades in GDIP/CCP in FY 1978	33
Table 13. Number of Executives Assigned to NSA, 1972-1977	35

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

25X1

Table 14.	Distribution of Supergrade Positions Among Organizational Elements of NSA	37
Table 15.	Senior Executive Positions and Grades in DIA, 1977	39
Table 16.	Trend in the Number of Senior Executives in DIA, 1973-1977	40
Table 17.	Air Force Intelligence General Officers and Civilian Supergrades, 1973-1977	44
Table 18.	Ratio of Executives to Total Manning in Intelligence Community Agencies (1973-1977)	56
Table 19.	Ratio of the Number of Executives and Flag/General Officers to the Total Manning of the Intelligence Community Agencies (1973-1977)	57
Table 20.	Ratio of Federal Executives to Agency Total Manning in Rank Order (1 January 1977)	60
Table 21.	Ratio of Supergrades to Total Manning in Selected Oversight Organization (FY 1976)	62
Table 22.	Number of Civilian Supergrades and the Executive Ratio in Selected Agencies Supported by Intelligence (1 January 1977)	64
Table 23.	Ratio of Supergrades to Total Manning in the Foreign Service, U.S.I.A., CIA, and CIA/DDO (FY 1976)	66

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

SECRET

25X1

PREFACE

This study responds to a request of January 1977 by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) that a comprehensive survey be made of supergrade and executive level positions in the Intelligence Community.

The senior executive positions surveyed include: Executive Level positions, supergrade positions in grades GS-16 through GS-18, Special Pay Scale and PL 313 positions, and flag/general officers. The Intelligence Community organizations examined are: the Intelligence Community Staff, the intelligence portions of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence), the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Bureau of Intelligence and Research of the State Department, the foreign counterintelligence portion of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Division of International Security Affairs of the Energy Research and Development Administration, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the intelligence organizations of Army, Navy, and Air Force, and the Special Air Force activities. (The Intelligence Unit of Treasury was not made part of the NFIP until July 1977.)

The survey is primarily a statistical description of the distribution of supergrades and other senior executives (including flag/general officers) within the Community over the past five years, with emphasis on the distribution in 1977. Comparisons are made among Community agencies and, to gain a broader perspective, between Community agencies and other Federal Government organizations. Because of the

[REDACTED]
Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

large number of supergrades involved and the time constraints for the survey, only a limited effort was made to review and compare individual supergrade position descriptions. Therefore, the survey is not an audit, nor an attempt to rationalize the justifications for each supergrade position.

The survey is based on data and information that was provided to the IC Staff by each of the Intelligence Community agencies in March and June of 1977. During the survey, of course, minor reorganizations occurred in some of the agencies, and a number of personnel actions affecting the data on supergrades were taken. Therefore, a cut-off date of mid-June 1977 was adopted.

SUMMARY

Since the Intelligence Community is a federation of agencies, the personnel systems, the sources of authority for and limitations on supergrade and executive positions, the types and degree of external review and control over supergrade position allocations and personnel actions vary from agency to agency. This contributes to the uneven distribution of supergrades within the Community and makes cross-agency comparisons difficult.

Each intelligence agency, however, has its own rigorous procedures for internal review of its needs for supergrade positions; controls the allocation of supergrade positions in accordance with agency needs and priorities; maintains internal merit and executive career development programs; and adheres to the criteria and principles of Civil Service personnel management of the rest of the Federal Government.

25X1 The total of ☐ senior executives (including: supergrade, Executive
25X1 Level, PL 313-type, and flag/general officer positions) in 1977 comprises
about ☐ percent of the Federal Government's executives. Over the last
five years the total number of senior executives in the Community has
been stable, with no tendency toward upward "creep." In fact, there
has been a slight overall decline in their number since 1973.

The senior executive positions are distributed within the Community as follows:

Approved For Release 2003/08/20

CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140004

Secret

TO:	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	<i>ER</i>		
2			
3			
4			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
REMARKS:			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS, AND PHONE NO.			DATE
IC STAFF/REGISTRY			

(Security Classification)

CONTROL NO.

dy 5

*XR 77-8915 +/1
IC*

ROOM BW39
COMMUNITY HQS. BLDG.

Handle Via

COMINT

Channels

Access to this document will be restricted to
those approved for the following specific activities:

Warning Notice

Sensitive Intelligence Sources and Methods Involved

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION

Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

Secret

(Security Classification)

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R00130014000421 IMPDET

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
SECRET			
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	A/D/DCI/IC	20 SEP 1977	<i>[Signature]</i>
2	A/DDCI		
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
<p><u>NOTE FOR A/DDCI</u></p> <p>We plan to distribute the revised study to the other intelligence agencies before the end of the month. Consequently, receipt of your comments as early as possible will be appreciated.</p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
RK EO/ICS			20 Sept
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
		SECRET	

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

140001-1
77-8915/2

IC
12 SEP 77

27 SEP 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Deputy to the DCI for the
Intelligence Community

FROM : John F. Blake
Acting Deputy Director of
Central Intelligence

SUBJECT : IC Staff Supergrade Survey

REFERENCE : Draft IC Staff Survey of Intelligence
Community Supergrade Positions

25X1 1. [] We have reviewed the draft IC Staff survey of Intelligence Community supergrade positions and find it to be a comprehensive and professional study as regards substantive content and commentary. The study, while essentially based on the use of statistical descriptions as the primary methodology to facilitate interagency comparisons, quite properly highlights the need for further substantive analysis of objective data to arrive at final conclusions as to the appropriateness of the number of supergrades required to meet the requirements of any specific agency.

25X1 2. [] The statistical comparisons and ratios of Senior Executive positions to total manning of Intelligence Community agencies presented in the study include an array of sensitive data not previously reported in a single report with such a wide distribution and potential readership. We are concerned at the potential risk of unauthorized disclosure and emphasize the need for strict adherence with the safeguards applicable to a document with the security classification it carries.

25X1 3. [] We are attaching herewith a number of comments, corrections, and/or suggestions relative to specific references contained in the study.

/s/ John F. Blake

John F. Blake

Att.

(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE)

26 JUL 77 5 23 PM '77

Originator:

Director of Personnel

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

SECRET

25X1
25X1

131032

Distribution:

- Orig & 1 - Adse, w/att.
- 1 - ADDCI, w/att.
- 1 - ER, w/att.
- 1 - AIDA, w/att.
- 2 - D/Pers, w/att.
- 1 - OP/P&C, w/att.

25X1

OP/P&C/ cnc (26 Sep 77)

SEP 27 2 53 PM '77

CONFIDENTIAL

ATTACHMENT

COMMENTS, CORRECTIONS, AND/OR SUGGESTIONS RELATIVE TO
SPECIFIC REFERENCES CONTAINED IN THE DRAFT IC STAFF
STUDY OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY SUPERGRADE POSITIONS

1. Reference: Page 4 - Typographic error as regards number of CIA Senior Executive positions.

25X1 ☐ Comment : The number of CIA Senior Executive positions (EP, SG, SPS and Flag/General Officer) should be

25X1

- 25X1 2. Reference: Page 8 - Table 1

☐ Comment : Suggest that the second column be labeled "Civilian Senior Executives" and reword footnote (1) as follows: "Includes Supergrades, Executive Pay Level Executives, and Scientific Pay Schedule/PL-313-type positions".

- 25X1 3. Reference: Page 14 - List of CIA Executive Pay Level positions.

☐ Comment : The position of the Director of National Photographic Interpretation Center is not designated as an EP position. This entry should be reported as an "Officer at Large" like the last entry on the listing.

- 25X1 4. Reference: Page 19 - Table 5

☐ Comment : Recommend rewording of the footnote (1) as follows: "This represents the DCI-approved perception of the Agency's actual supergrade position requirements. Only ☐ (i.e., to abide by the OMB-approved ceiling limitation) positions may be encumbered."

25X1

- 25X1 5. Reference: Page 20 - Sixth sentence of first full paragraph on this page.

☐ Comment : This sentence should be changed to read "the results of this audit were reviewed and approved by the Director".

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

6. Reference: Page 20 - Second complete paragraph beginning "It is Agency policy to stay below the OMB ceiling"

25X1



Comment : Should be rewritten to reflect current policy as follows: "It is Agency policy to stay at or below the OMB ceiling. Any positions adjudicated by position classification audits at the supergrade level and approved by the DCI may be established on the Table of Organization but cannot be incumbered if such action would exceed the OMB-approved ceiling".

7. Reference: Page 51 - Last paragraph reference to national intelligence vis a vis departmental intelligence.

25X1



Comment : The report raises and dismisses the proposal that "national intelligence should be performed with higher quality personnel than departmental intelligence". This tends to obscure an important issue that does affect position evaluation. What is a relevant consideration is the amount of analytic or other special skills that is required in the production of intelligence. Some intelligence production is reportorial, some analytic, some scientific. The requirement for special skills is one factor that drives position evaluation, both for analysts and their managers.

8. Reference: Page 66 - Table 23

25X1

25X1



Comment : The number of CIA Supergrade positions is erroneously reported as '[redacted]'. The total number of Senior Executive positions (i.e., EP, Supergrade, SPS and Flag/General Officer) in CIA is '[redacted]' with a ratio of total manning of 1:31 (as reported in the Table).

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

CONFIDENTIAL